

F.6.4

**Draft Manuscript**

**The influence of elephant on *Faidherbia albida* trees in the  
northern Namib Desert: a reappraisal.**

PETER J. JACOBSON AND KATHRYN M. JACOBSON

*Department of Biology, Grinnell College, P.O. Box 805,*

*Grinnell, Iowa, 50112-0806, U.S.A.*

Running Head: *Influence of elephants on Faidherbia in the Namib*

Correspondence to:

Peter J. Jacobson

Department of Biology

Grinnell College

P.O. Box 805

Grinnell, IA. 50112-0806

U.S.A.

Tel. (515)-269-4359

Fax (515)-269-4285

E-mail: jacobsonp@ac.grin.edu

Mary,

Your comments, criticisms, additions,  
etc. would be greatly appreciated!

Copies have gone to Audi, Malan  
and Keith. + Colin Craig +  
Wynand du Plessis

Thanks -

Peter

**Abstract:** Elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) are well known for the tremendous effect they can exert on their habitat. The Namibian media expressed concern in the early 1980's regarding the influence of desert-dwelling elephants on vegetation within the lower Hoanib River in the northern Namib Desert. In response, a survey was conducted in 1983 and reported no detrimental effects. In marked contrast, our observations in 1994 suggested significant tree damage had occurred since 1983. We resurveyed the area in 1995 to quantify changes that had occurred in the past 12 years, and considered several hypotheses to explain them. We found that a significant change had occurred in the size structure of the *Faidherbia albida* forest. Of 638 trees examined within the lower Hoanib River, 196 (30 %) were dead and exhibited evidence suggesting they had been killed by elephants. Of the 196 dead trees, 142 (73 %) were <20 cm in diameter. As a result of this selective feeding and associated lack of recruitment, the current size distribution of trees is strongly skewed towards older individuals, likely to be more susceptible to die-off should environmental conditions change significantly. The cause of this change in foraging is unclear. Elephant density has not increased nor has there been any significant hydroclimatic variation since the early 1980's. Subtle shifts in resource use patterns, possibly triggered by prior anthropogenic disturbance (primarily poaching), may be responsible for the observed decline in tree survival and recruitment. In combination with proposed hydrologic alterations of the Hoanib River associated with agricultural developments, this skewed age structure could result in a large-scale die-off of *Faidherbia* trees along the lower river.

**Key words:** ephemeral rivers, disturbance, age structure, compression hypothesis, riparian vegetation, floods, Africa

## Introduction

The African elephant, *Loxodonta africana* Blumenbach, has long been recognized as a major force affecting vegetation communities throughout its range (Laws 1970). In particular, when combined with human-induced shifts in population density and available foraging area, such effects can be severe and result in the decimation of affected vegetation (Anderson and Walker 1974, Barnes 1983a & b). Because of their comparatively low productivity, dryland vegetation communities may be particularly susceptible to damage by foraging elephants.

The northern Namib Desert represents the most arid range of the world's remaining elephant, and the last desert population in existence. Within the Namib, the elephants' subsistence is dependent upon a series of ephemeral river courses and associated floodplains and springs that provide food and water resources within an otherwise hostile landscape (Viljoen 1989a, Viljoen 1989b). In the early 1980's, concern was expressed by the Namibian media regarding the potential effect of the elephants on the riparian vegetation within these rivers, particularly the large *Faidherbia albida* (Del.) A. Chev. trees that grow in and along the channel of the Hoanib River in northwestern Namibia (Schoeman 1982). *Faidherbia albida*, formerly *Acacia albida* Del., is a large tree, reaching a height of over 15 m and a diameter in excess of 2 m within the Hoanib River. These stately trees produce large amounts of fruits, and a single tree can produce more than 200 kg of the dry indehiscent pods in a single year (CTFT 1989, Jacobson 1998a). Aside from their aesthetic appeal, the trees have great ecological importance as a source of forage, providing pods, foliage, and bark for the region's elephants. Despite the concern that the desert-dwelling elephants may have been having an adverse effect on the

trees, a study completed in 1983 concluded that there was no evidence of such an effect (Viljoen and Bothma 1990a). Although trees were occasionally ring-barked, having the bark removed from the entire circumference of their trunks, the frequency was estimated to be less than the recruitment rate and not significant to the long-term viability of the population.

We first visited the river in 1994, and our observations made us question whether this conclusion was still valid. Although Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) reported no negative effect on the river's vegetation other than the occasional debarking of *Faidherbia* trees, we observed evidence that elephants were actively breaking down *Faidherbia* trees up to ~40 cm in diameter. We thus initiated a study to reexamine the influence of elephants upon the recruitment and size structure of *Faidherbia albida* trees within the lower Hoanib River. Our objectives were to resurvey the reach of the river surveyed by Viljoen and Bothma (1990a), quantifying the changes in stand structure occurring in the past 12 years; to consider potential explanations for the observed differences; and to discuss the findings with respect to the conservation of the region's elephants and their riverine habitats.

## Methods

### *Study Area*

The Hoanib River drains a catchment of ~17,000 km<sup>2</sup> in northwestern Namibia, flowing a distance of ~300 km from its headwaters near the Etosha National Park, westward to the Atlantic Ocean. *Faidherbia albida* trees occur most of the river's length, but reach their greatest size and abundance within the lower 100 km of the river lying within the Namib Desert. The trees' survival depends upon the occasional floods that

originate within the upper reaches of the catchment, bringing water and nutrient-rich sediments to the lower river (Jacobson 1998b). While floods have ~~flowed~~ occurred in the lower Hoanib every year since record keeping began in 1977, their duration and magnitude are highly variable. Floods are an essential source of water, as the median annual rainfall along the lower river decreases from ~75 mm at Sesfontein, some 100 km inland, to <20 mm at the coast. Germination and recruitment of woody species, as well as annual grasses and forbs, is therefore almost entirely dependent upon floods.

The river traverses a mountainous landscape interspersed with large valleys and sandy or stony plains. A series of small tributaries enter the river along its lower 100 km, and although they contribute little to the annual runoff, they serve as important corridors for wildlife moving across the region's rugged landscape. This is particularly true for the mainstem of the Hoanib River, which is the region's principal east-west wildlife corridor. Approximately 20 km from the sea, the river's course is blocked by the coastal dunefield of the northern Namib Desert. Only in years of exceptional flooding does the river reach the sea, an event that has occurred only four times in the past 33 years (1963, 1982, 1984, and 1995). In most years floodwaters are impounded by the dunes and spread across a broad plain, commonly referred to as the 'Hoanib floodplain' (Viljoen 1989a). This terminal floodplain is an important resource for the region's wildlife during periods when floods have stimulated the growth of grasses and forbs and serves as a key wet-season resource patch for the region's elephants (Viljoen 1989a, Viljoen 1989b).

Viljoen (1989b) studied the seasonal distribution of elephants within the lower Hoanib River and noted distinct shifts corresponding to changes in food and water availability. In particular, the wet season core areas for two family groups centered on the

lower Hoanib River floodplain, while their dry season core areas shifted to wetlands in the Hoarusib River, ~60 km to the north, and the Dubis wetland, ~65 km upstream in the Hoanib River. These wetlands occur where variations in bedrock geology result in groundwater upwelling zones, producing isolated reaches of surface flow up to several hundred meters or more in length.

The Dubis wetland is an important focal point for elephants within the Hoanib River, providing a key dry-season water source. The concerns expressed over the potential effect of elephants upon the river's vegetation (Schoeman 1982) focused largely on the 65-km reach from the Dubis wetland downstream to the floodplain. The subsequent study by Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) examined the effect of elephants upon the *Faidherbia* trees within this reach.

### *Vegetation Surveys*

Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) used several methods to examine the effect of elephants upon the vegetation within the lower Hoanib River, including an examination of multiple sets of aerial photos for changes in the number of large trees, measures of selected trees to assess age structure and mortality patterns, and transect surveys to assess the extent of bark removed. As the precise locations of Viljoen's surveys were unclear, we distributed our survey effort over a 44-km reach downstream of the Dubis wetland. A preliminary survey revealed that the density of trees downstream of the wetland was less than 2 trees km<sup>-1</sup> for the first 12 km. Thus, we divided this 12 km reach into two 6-km sections, and conducted a complete survey of each section. The remaining 32 km was divided into four 8-km sections, and the first kilometer of each section was surveyed.

Within each survey section the total number of living and dead *Faidherbia* trees was counted. Dead trees included standing dead, as well as the stumps of broken-off trees. The stem diameter at ~1.5 m height was measured on both living and standing dead trees, and in cases where caespitose clumps occurred, the diameter of each stem was measured separately. For stumps, the diameter was also measured at 1.5 m, or at its highest point if less than 1.5 m tall. Standing dead trees were examined to determine if ring-barking was the probable cause of death. The percentage of bark removed relative to the tree's circumference was estimated for all live and dead standing trees. Trees exhibiting any debarking were also examined for signs of wood boring beetle infestation. All stumps were examined for the presence of root or stem sprouts. Finally, following the 1995 floods, sections were resurveyed to record the number and size of trees removed by the floods.

## Results

The current size distribution of *Faidherbia* trees in the lower Hoanib River differs markedly from that observed in 1983 by Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) ( $\chi^2=145.3$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $df=5$ ) (Table 1). In particular, the number of 2-20-cm trees (0.2 %) measured in 1995 is more than two orders of magnitude below the 30.1 % reported from the 1983 survey. Of the 638 trees examined within the lower Hoanib River, 196 (30.7 %) were dead and exhibited evidence suggesting they had been killed by elephants. In contrast, in 1983 a sample of 238 *Faidherbia* trees contained 14 (5.9 %) trees killed by elephants (Viljoen and Bothma 1990a). The average diameter of the dead trees in the 1995 survey was 21 cm ( $\pm 12.5$ ), ranging from 2-64 cm. The diameter of the 442 live trees averaged 78.4 cm ( $\pm 33.1$ ), ranging from 18-226 cm.

Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) reported that the size distribution of *Faidherbia* trees in 1983 conformed to a “reverse J-shaped curve ... indicative of a climax population,” concluding that, “the *Acacia albida* population in the Hoanib River is a healthy climax and stable population.” In contrast, the current distribution exhibits a pronounced absence of trees in the 2-20-cm size class and a decrease in the 20-40 cm class as well. Of the 638 trees measured, 196 (30.7 %) were dead, and 142 (72.5 %) of these were within the 2-20-cm size class. The percentage of dead trees within each reach ranged from 12.5 to 26.3 %, and the mean of the three reaches within 20 km of the Dubis wetland (20.8 %) was comparable to that of the lower three reaches (21.3 %), 20-44 km downstream .

The incidence of ring-barking was low; only 5 (0.9 %) *Faidherbia* trees from a sample of 535 mature trees were ring-barked, comparable with that recorded in 1983 (Viljoen and Bothma 1990a), when 5 of 213 (2.3 %) individuals had been killed through ring-barking. The five ring-barked trees in the 1995 survey averaged 46 cm ( $\pm 4.6$ ) in diameter, compared to an average of 20.7 cm ( $\pm 12.1$ ) for the 191 tree stumps.

Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) reported that 31.6% (45 of 142) of a sample of mature *Faidherbia* trees had >20 % of their bark removed by elephants. In contrast, we observed that elephants had removed >20 % of the bark from 74 % (124 of 168) of mature trees. Although Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) reported that wood borers were absent from bark-damaged trees in the Hoanib River, we found they had colonized 4 of 168 (2.4 %) living trees at sites of bark damage.

Debarking stimulated a dramatic alteration of the vascular cambium in 33 % of a sample of 402 mature *Faidherbia* trees, resulting in the development of numerous deep convolutions, which ran parallel to the longitudinal axis of the trunk. These folds in the



surface of the trunk appeared to offer some protection from ring-barking, as bark could only be removed from the outermost surface of the folds. The frequency of their occurrence decreased with distance from water. Within 12 km of the Dubis wetland, 76 % of the mature *Faidherbia* trees exhibited these convolutions, dropping to 9 % at a distance of 44 km. Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) did not report these features, which may be a recent development in response to the increased incidence of debarking.

We found no evidence that elephants were uprooting trees in the Hoanib River. Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) reported a similar absence, in contrast to reports from savanna habitats (Laws 1970). As suggested by Viljoen and Bothma, the absence of uprooted trees, despite the heavy browsing pressure, may be a function of *Faidherbia albida*'s strong tap root (CTFT 1989). The stability that this rooting structure confers may actually contribute to stem breakage, rather than uprooting, when an elephant applies pressure to the tree while feeding. However, Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) did not report elephants breaking down trees and saplings.

The largest tree that appeared to have been broken off by elephants had a 64-cm-diameter stump standing ~1 m. The broken trunk was heavily colonized by shot-borer beetles (Bostrychoidea) and a white-rot fungus, both of which would have weakened the trunk, increasing its susceptibility to breakage. Although high-winds occasionally topple mature *Faidherbia* trees, such events are rare. Only 4 cases were observed during a three-year period in the ephemeral Kuiseb River, all involving large trees (>80 cm). In each of these cases the trees were uprooted, toppling without breaking the trunks. The presence of wood boring beetles and white-rot fungi, in combination with the advanced age of the

trees, may have contributed to their collapse (Jacobson, personal observations). No blow-downs or wind-induced breakage of smaller trees (<80 cm) were observed.

*Faidherbia* trees broken off at or near ground level by elephants, or with roots damaged by floods, tended to sprout new shoots. These shoots were heavily browsed by elephants and various ungulates. The frequency of root and stump sprouts increased downstream from the Hoanib wetland, reflecting the increased browsing pressure closer to water. No root or stump sprouts were observed within 20 km of the wetland, despite the presence of stumps and damaged trees. Sprouts were present on 22 of 28 (79 %) *Faidherbia* stumps, 28-36 km downstream, but the sprouts were browsed to within 1-2 cm of their origin. A dramatic increase in both the frequency and the size of root and stump sprouts was observed within the 44-km survey reach (44 km downstream of Dubis), where 79 of 100 damaged *Faidherbia* exhibited sprouts. Although they were heavily browsed, sprouts ranged from <10 cm to >3 m in height.

The 1995 flood eroded 18 of the 638 (2.8 %) *Faidherbia* trees measured. Trees were washed out via lateral channel erosion and associated mass wasting of banks, as well as the scour of bed sediments within the active channel. The eroded trees had an average diameter of 80 cm ( $\pm 37$ ), ranging from 30-190 cm. While many of the trees were washed away, some fell but were held in place by intact roots. These trees, while not killed outright by the floods, were eaten by elephants within three months; branches up to 8 cm in diameter were consumed.

## Discussion

While Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) concluded that elephants had no effect on large trees in the Hoanib River from 1963 to 1983, in the twelve years since 1983 elephants have radically altered the age structure of the *Faidherbia albida* forest downstream of the Dubis wetland. Although the intensity of debarking appears to have increased, the frequency of trees killed via ring-barking is unchanged. The low mortality rate associated with ring-barking, observed by Viljoen and Bothma (1990a) in 1983, and again in the 1995 survey, has also been reported from the Zambezi Valley, where Dunham (1991) recorded only two deaths attributable to ring-barking during an eight-year study of 53 mature trees. In addition, no uprooting of *Faidherbia* trees was observed in the 1983 (Viljoen and Bothma 1990a) or 1995 surveys in the Hoanib River. Dunham (1989) noted that elephants could not push over healthy *Faidherbia albida* along the Zambezi River because of their deep roots, although they did occasionally kill trees by ring-barking. Although bark damage does allow the introduction of borer beetles, which may weaken the tree and lead to its collapse (Laws 1970, Anderson and Walker 1974, Barnes 1983a), the low incidence of such infestations (<3 %) in the Hoanib River suggests that this was not a significant factor affecting the size distribution. Thus, it appears that the change in the size distribution within the lower Hoanib River is largely attributable to the selective destruction of small trees (2-20 cm) by elephants.

The effect of preferential feeding by elephants has been previously noted by Laws (1970), who summarized several studies in Uganda that revealed a marked preference by elephants for small trees, resulting in strongly skewed size distributions. Barnes (1983a)

observed a similar pattern in the Ruaha National Park, Tanzania. Severe damage to *Faidherbia* woodlands has also been reported from Tanzania (Barnes 1983b).

Feely (1965) observed that recruitment of *Faidherbia albida* was severely limited in the Luangwa Valley in Zambia, where the foraging by elephants and various ungulates kept saplings pruned. Finally, Anderson and Walker (1974) observed that old stumps of *Acacia tortilis* were common along the Sengwa and Lutope Rivers in northern Zimbabwe. The tree was reported to be very susceptible to attack by wood-boring insects; once elephants had stripped some of the bark, it invariably died. Continued pressure from elephants resulted in an uneven age structure along the rivers, as dry season concentrations of browsing animals prevented any significant recruitment of trees. While similar patterns of selective feeding are responsible for the development of a comparatively even-aged stand in the lower Hoanib River, it is unclear what change in conditions occurred between 1983 and 1995 to induce this transition.

The destruction of vegetation by elephants has often been associated with an increase in the local elephant density (Laws 1970, Barnes 1983b). Nonetheless, elephant numbers in the lower Hoanib River have remained relatively stable; surveys from 1982-1995 consistently report approximately 25 animals (Viljoen 1982, Viljoen 1987, Lindeque and Lindeque 1991, personal observations). In addition, no dramatic changes in hydroclimatic conditions have occurred during this period. Rather, it appears that some unknown factor triggered a shift in foraging patterns, resulting in increased foraging pressure on the *Faidherbia* trees within the lower Hoanib River.

### *Foraging and movement patterns*

The seasonal movements and foraging patterns of elephants within the northern Namib Desert were intensively studied by Viljoen in the early 1980's (1989a, 1989b, Viljoen and Bothma 1990b). He recognized the importance of isolated resource patches (i.e., springs and vegetation) to the survival of region's elephants. The riparian vegetation associated with the Hoanib and Hoarusib Rivers was particularly important, both during the dry and wet seasons. Viljoen (1989a) noted that ephemeral river courses and their floodplains, while representing only 3.2 % of the 14,750 km<sup>2</sup> study area in the northern Namib Desert, provided the only habitat upon which elephants could rely for long-term survival. Similarly, Kerr and Fraser (1975) observed that alluvial plains in the Zambezi Valley, while comprising less than 5 % of their study area, supported roughly 50% of the elephant population during the dry season. They also viewed the maintenance of these areas as essential to the long-term viability of the region's elephant population.

In order to use isolated resource patches, however, elephants must be capable of moving among them. The harsh landscape of the northern Namib Desert provides only isolated respites for any elephant moving across it. Viljoen (1989b) observed that desert-dwelling elephants were well-adapted to the desert and able to go up to four days without drinking water. This ability allowed them to use food resources up to 70 km from water. Not surprisingly, elephants in western Namibia are known to have the largest home ranges of any population studied to date, with estimates of mean home ranges ranging from 2,172 km<sup>2</sup> (Viljoen 1989b) to ~5,800 km<sup>2</sup> (Lindeque and Lindeque 1991).

Elephants, both lone bulls and family units, commonly travel the ~60 km from the Hoanib floodplain north to the lower Hoarusib River (Viljoen 1989, Lindeque and

Lindeque 1991). Lindeque and Lindeque (1991) observed three such movements during an eight month period. Viljoen (1989b) observed that elephants rarely traveled more than 20-40 km from water during the dry season, with a mean distance of 25.7 km (sd=13.2) and a maximum of 70 km. This range corresponds with the length of the reach of the lower Hoanib River between the Dubis wetland and the Mudorib confluence, where *Faidherbia* trees were most severely damaged, and where Viljoen (1989b) recorded the greatest dry season concentration of elephants.

Following floods, elephants exhibited a strong preference for the Hoanib River floodplain, where floods trigger an abundance of grasses and forbs. Elephants shifted from a dry season distribution centered around the Dubis wetland area, to a wet season distribution centered on the terminal floodplain, remaining as long as fresh forage was available (Viljoen 1989a). The river course served as a key corridor during these seasonal movements from the Dubis wetland to the floodplain, a distance of ~70 km (Viljoen 1989b). Seasonal movements between a dry season distribution, related to surface water availability, and wet season distribution taking advantage of better food resources, have also been reported from the Tsavo Park (Laws 1970), although these movements occurred over distances of only 25-40 km. Similar seasonal movement patterns have been reported from the Zambezi Valley in Zimbabwe (Kerr and Fraser 1975).

While isolated habitat patches are of obvious importance to the survival of desert-dwelling elephants, so too are the linking corridors. As Viljoen (1989) observed, critical resource patches are often separated by distances of up to 60 km. In the hyper-arid Namib Desert, any error in navigation between such sites could prove fatal. Thus, the disruption of corridors between key resource patches could have obvious detrimental effects. If

access to isolated foraging areas is hindered, pressure on the remaining resource patches within the home range would logically increase, potentially resulting in the over-utilization of accessible patches.

*Poaching: precursor of vegetation change?*

Poaching has had a major impact on elephants in the northern Namib Desert and has significantly changed their distribution in northwestern Namibia over the past several decades (Viljoen 1987). We hypothesize that poaching may have induced changes in the movement and foraging patterns of elephants within northwestern Namibia, as has been observed elsewhere in Africa (Caughley 1976, Lewis 1986). While Viljoen (1989b) recorded no cases of elephants moving into new ranges as a result of poaching or other anthropogenic disturbances, he noted that elephants losing only part of their home range to human activity may be 'compressed' into the remainder. The 'compression hypothesis' suggests that elephants are driven into sanctuary areas by increasing levels of disturbance, resulting in localized concentrations that may seriously damage vegetative communities (Caughley 1976).

'Compression' need not imply only a shift in distribution and an associated change in density, but could also be applied to situations where disturbances force animals to avoid localized portions of their normal range, and spend more time foraging in disturbance-free areas. Such shifts might be too subtle to be perceived as an alteration in elephant distribution or density across a landscape. Yet, such shifts could affect resource utilization patterns within the northern Namib Desert. If, for example, a key habitat patch is lost, animals would be forced to restrict their activity to the remaining patches. The

density of animals within any given patch would not necessarily increase, but use of some patches could become excessive. Viljoen (1989) noted the reluctance of elephants to move into new areas within western Namibia, which might act to increase the probability of 'home range compression.' While speculative, this hypothesis may explain the significant habitat alterations that have occurred within the lower Hoanib River.

Similar patterns have been observed in the Luangwa Valley in Zambia, where poaching induced changes in food preferences and range patterns of elephants (Lewis 1986). These changes restricted food availability, resulting in a decline in the region's woodland. Lewis noted that the increased browsing pressure was the result of altered feeding behaviors (i.e., time spent within particular habitat patches) rather than increased elephant density (Caughley 1976). Elephants in the Luangwa Valley rapidly returned (within 1-2 years) to former foraging areas once anthropogenic disturbances were controlled (Lewis 1986). A similar pattern has been recorded in western Namibia (Ugab and Hoanib Rivers), where elephants have begun to recolonize former ranges (Malan Lindeque and Rudi Loutit, personal communication).

Prior to the heavy poaching of the 1970's and early 1980's, Owen-Smith (1971) recorded that elephants ranged from the Hoanib River west of Sesfontein across the Khowarib Plains and drank at Anabeb and from small springs in the mountains south of Warmquelle and Sesfontein. An aerial census in 1975 counted only five elephants in the Hoanib River west of Sesfontein but 33 on the plains to the south (Viljoen 1987). An elephant traveling upstream from the Dubis wetland could reach Sesfontein in ~30 km, Anabeb in ~45 km, and the Khowarib canyon in ~70 km. Moving southeast through the mountains, elephants could reach the canyon in ~50 km. Such movements would



significantly increase access to vegetation resources, relative to those currently utilized in the vicinity of the lower Hoanib River.

Despite such observations, we will never know the extent to which anthropogenic disturbances, particularly poaching, may have induced shifts in the foraging patterns of elephants in the northern Namib Desert. Intensive studies of the distribution and movements of individual elephants and family units only began in October 1980 (Viljoen 1989b). By this time, significant disturbances had already occurred throughout the study area (Viljoen 1987). Viljoen's study period, from October 1980 to January 1983 coincided with a period of intensive poaching. From July 1979-July 1982, a total of 121 elephants were killed within northwestern Namibia, some 35% of the total population (Viljoen 1982).

An aerial census in 1982 revealed the extent of the poaching which was occurring in the immediate vicinity of the Hoanib River and its tributaries (Viljoen 1982). A total of 25 elephants were observed within the Hoanib River west of Sesfontein, along with 11 carcasses. To the north, zero live and 11 dead were observed in the Hoarusib River; to the south, zero live and 6 dead on the plains along the upper Mudorib River; to the east, 11 live and 18 dead on the Khowarib Plain; and upstream of the Hoanib Canyon, 38 live and 41 dead. Ground checks of the carcasses confirmed that 90 % had been shot within the past three years (Viljoen 1982).

### *An uncertain future*

The future of the forest, the elephants, and the river itself, is uncertain. A wide range of development plans have been proposed for the Hoanib River, with particular

emphasis upon expanded agricultural activities that rely upon the water resources of the Hoanib River (MAWRD 1994). Ground-water pumping of the alluvial aquifer of the Hoanib River, between Khowarib and Anabeb, and construction of a dam in the Khowarib Canyon, are two options for agricultural development. Either option will likely have serious impacts upon the lower Hoanib River ecosystem. A reduction in flood frequency or extensive groundwater pumping could lower the water table within the lower Hoanib River, having multiple effects upon the region's biota.

Hydrologic alterations could lead to the desiccation of the Dubis wetland, a critical dry-season resource for the region's elephants, and trigger the senescence of the even-aged *Faidherbia* forest along the lower river. Young and Lindsay (1988) noted that environmental stressors may act to trigger synchronous die-offs within even-aged stands. Such a die-off occurred in the lower Kuiseb River during the early 1980's when 4 years without floods triggered the collapse of large *Faidherbia albida* trees (Ward and Breen 1983). A similar die-off occurred within the lower Swakop River, along with the desiccation of wetlands, in response to hydrologic alterations induced by an upstream impoundment (Jacobson et al. 1995).

Avoiding such dramatic changes is contingent upon the maintenance of key ecological processes, particularly flooding, critical to the maintenance of the elephants' principal resource patches (i.e., springs and vegetation). Floods in ephemeral rivers act to decouple elephants from fluctuations in the harsh local climate. Although local rainfall may differ by more than 100 % among years, mean daily movements of elephants may remain unchanged, as floods originating in the upper catchment provide water and stimulate vegetation growth along the rivers and their floodplains (Viljoen 1989b).

If the *Faidherbia* forest within the lower Hoanib River is to recover, the browsing pressure and associated destruction of young trees must decrease. Eastward extensions of the elephants' range could provide an outlet to reduce pressure on the river's vegetation, although they could also lead to increased conflicts with humans. While it remains to be seen if such movements will occur, the probability of their occurrence may increase in response to further declines in resource availability in the lower reaches of the river. This would be particularly true in the event of any significant alterations of the river's surface or subsurface hydrologic regimes. At present, uncoordinated land use within the region leaves the future of the lower Hoanib River and its natural resources uncertain.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Support for fieldwork in Namibia was provided by the Desert Research Foundation of Namibia (DRFN), and the Swedish International Development Authority (SIDA). The Namibian Ministry of Environment granted permission to conduct research within the Skeleton Coast Park.

## References

- Anderson, G.D. and B.H. Walker. 1974. Vegetation composition and elephant damage in the Sengwe Wildlife Research Area, Rhodesia. *Journal of the Southern African Wildlife Management Association* 4: 1-14.
- Barnes, R.F.W. 1983a. Effects of elephant browsing on woodlands in a Tanzanian National Park: measurements, models and management. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 20: 521-540.
- Barnes, R.F.W. 1983b. The elephant problem in Ruaha National Park, Tanzania. *Biological Conservation* 26: 127-148.
- Caughley, G. 1976. The elephant problem - an alternative hypothesis. *East African Wildlife Journal* 14: 265-283.
- CTFT (Centre technique forestier tropical). 1989. *Faidherbia albida* (Del.) A. Chev. (Synonym *Acacia albida* Del.). (English translation by P.J. Wood) Nogent-sur-Marne, France: CTFT, and Wageningen, Netherlands: Centre technique de coopération agricole et rurale. 72 pp.
- Dunham, K.M. 1989. Long-term changes in Zambezi riparian woodlands, as revealed by photopanoramas. *African Journal of Ecology* 27: 263-275.
- Feely, J.M. 1965. Observations on *Acacia albida* in the Luangwa Valley. *The Puku*, Occ. Papers Dept. Game and Fisheries 3: 67-70.
- Jacobson, P.J., K.M. Jacobson and M.K. Seely. 1995. *Ephemeral rivers and their catchments: sustaining people and development in western Namibia*. Desert Research Foundation of Namibia, Windhoek. 160 pp.

- Jacobson, P.J., K.M. Jacobson, P.L. Angermeier and D.S. Cherry. 1998a, In Review.  
Transport, retention, and ecological significance of woody debris within a large  
ephemeral river. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*.
- Jacobson, P.J., K.M. Jacobson, P.L. Angermeier and D.S. Cherry. 1998b, In Review.  
Hydrologic influences on soil properties along ephemeral rivers in the Namib  
Desert. *Journal of Arid Environments*.
- Kerr, M.A. and J.A. Fraser. 1975. Distribution of elephant in a part of the Zambezi  
Valley, Rhodesia. *Arnoldia* 7: 1-14.
- Laws, R.M. 1970. Elephants as agents of habitat and landscape change in East Africa.  
*Oikos* 21: 1-15.
- Lewis, D.M. 1986. Disturbance effects on elephant feeding: evidence for compression in  
Luangwa Valley, Zambia. *African Journal of Ecology* 24: 227-241.
- Lindeque, M. and P.M. Lindeque. 1991. Satellite tracking of elephants in northwestern  
Namibia. *African Journal of Ecology* 29: 196-206.
- MAWRD. 1994. *Sustainable development in the Sesfontein/Khowarib basin*. Namibian  
Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Rural Development, Windhoek. Final Draft.  
188 pp.
- Owen-Smith, G.L. 1971. *The Kaokoveld: an ecological base for future development  
planning*. Pinetown, South Africa. 67 pp.
- Schoeman, A. 1982. The 'big and hairy' syndrome re: Damaraland and Kaokoland: a  
diagnosis. Pages 4, no. 9969. *The Windhoek Advertiser*, Windhoek, Namibia.
- Viljoen, P.J. 1982. *Western Kaokoland, Damaraland and the Skeleton Coast Park aerial  
game census*. Namibia Wildlife Trust, Windhoek. Unpublished Report. 31 pp.


- Viljoen, P.J. 1987. Status and past and present distribution of elephants in the Kaokoveld, South West Africa/Namibia. *South African Journal of Zoology* 22: 247-257.
- Viljoen, P.J. 1989a. Habitat selection and preferred food plants of a desert-dwelling elephant population in the northern Namib Desert, South West Africa/Namibia. *African Journal of Ecology* 27: 227-240.
- Viljoen, P.J. 1989b. Spatial distribution and movements of elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) in the northern Namib Desert region of the Kaokoveld, South West Africa/Namibia. *Journal of Zoology, Lond.* 219: 1-19.
- Viljoen, P.J. and J. du P. Bothma. 1990a. The influence of desert-dwelling elephants on vegetation in the northern Namib Desert, South West Africa/Namibia. *Journal of Arid Environments* 18: 85-96.
- Viljoen, P.J. and J. du P. Bothma. 1990b. Daily movements of desert-dwelling elephants in the northern Namib Desert. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research* 20: 69-72.
- Ward, J.D. and C.M. Breen. 1983. Drought stress and the demise of *Acacia albida* along the Lower Kuiseb River, Central Namib Desert: preliminary findings. *South African Journal of Science* 79: 444-447.
- Young, T.P. and W.K. Lindsay. 1988. Role of even-age population structure in the disappearance of *Acacia xanthophloea* woodlands. *African Journal of Ecology* 26: 69-72.

Table 1. The distribution of stem diameters (cm) in samples of living *Faidherbia albida* trees along the lower Hoanib River in the northern Namib Desert, Namibia. The values assigned to each size class are percentages of the total sample.

River	n	2-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100	100-120	>120
Hoanib <sup>1</sup>	442	0.2	11.8	30.4	22.9	12.9	9.1	12.7
Hoanib <sup>2</sup>	206	30.1	25.2	20.9	11.7	4.9	5.3	1.9

<sup>1</sup> - Current study.

<sup>2</sup> - Viljoen (1990).



**Fig. 1. The lower Hoanib River within northwestern Namibia.**



Fig. 2. Annual runoff ( $\text{m}^3$ ) at the Sesfontein Weir, Hoanib River (1977-1995).




Fig. 3. Size class distribution of live *Faidherbia albida* measured in the lower Hoanib River in 1982 (from Viljoen 1990).




Fig. 4. Size class distribution of live *Faidherbia albida* measured in the lower Hoanib River in 1995.




Fig. 5. Size class distribution of live (n=442) and dead (n=196) *Faidherbia albida* measured in the lower Hoanib River in 1995.

